UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,845	02/11/2004	Ronald R. Woller	8S08.1-190	3025
23506 7590 12/23/2008 GARDNER GROFF GREENWALD & VILLANUEVA. PC 2018 POWERS FERRY ROAD SUITE 800 ATLANTA, GA 30339			EXAMINER	
			QUINN, COLLEEN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/776,845	WOLLER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	COLLEEN M. QUINN	3634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 C     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under R	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 10 and 16 is/are pending in the application Papers	wn from consideration. or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition and a composition and a composition to the separate and a composition and a compositi	cepted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/776,845 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after a decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but before the filing of a Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or the commencement of a civil action. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 18<sup>th</sup>, 2008 has been entered.

### Claim Objections

Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities:

- 1. It appears the applicant intends to claim -- A climbing tree stand including...- rather than "In a climbing tree strand including...".
- Claim 16 is currently labeled as (Original) when it is clearly amended and should be labeled (Currently Amended).

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,845 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Prejean (US 6,988,588). Prejean discloses a climbing tree stand (figure 4) including a platform (20) and a pair of support arms (44) attached to the platform (figure 4), the climbing tree stand being for use by a user (figure 11) and comprising a pair of rigid foothold devices (44b; col. 4, lines 5-17) extending toward the platform (figure 4).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prejean (US 6,988,588) in view of Curtis (US 2,057,013). Prejean discloses a climbing tree stand (figure 4) including a platform (20) and a pair of support arms (44) attached to the platform (figure 4), the climbing tree stand being for use by a user (figure 11) and

comprising a pair of rigid foothold devices (44b; col. 4, lines 5-17) extending toward the platform (figure 4). Prejean does not disclose the foothold device to comprise a curved or clamping portion.

However, Curtis teaches a climbing platform (11) attachable to a vertical member (1), wherein the platform comprising a foothold device (14) comprising an arcuate portion (figure 1) and a clamping portion (15, 16), providing a more accurately shaped releasable foothold device that better matches shape of the top of a user's foot and can be released if the user needs to move the foothold aside.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the climbing tree stand of Prejean with foothold devices that include an arcuate portion and clamping portion as taught by Curtis in order to provide a more accurately shaped releasable foothold device that better matches shape of the top of a user's foot and can be released if the user needs to move the foothold aside.

## Response to Arguments/Remarks

Applicant's remarks filed October 18<sup>th</sup>, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant noted that claims 10 and 16 were previously objected to (by a previous examiner) and were indicated to be allowable if rewritten in independent form. However, the current Examiner did not find claims 10 and 16 to be allowable and in fact found prior art anticipating the current claim language and deemed the above rejections necessary.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,845 Page 5

Art Unit: 3634

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to COLLEEN M. QUINN whose telephone number is (571)272-6289. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Mitchell can be reached on (571) 272-7069. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/KATHERINE W MITCHELL/ /C. M. Q./
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3634 Examiner, Art Unit 3634